

Hamiltonian Frenet-Serret dynamics

R Capovilla[†], J Guven[‡] and E Rojas^{†§}

[†] Departamento de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del IPN, Apdo. Postal 14-740, 07000 México, DF, MEXICO

[‡] Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apdo. Postal 70-543, 04510 México, DF, MEXICO

[§] Department of Physics, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244-1130, USA

Abstract. The Hamiltonian formulation of the dynamics of a relativistic particle described by a higher-derivative action that depends both on the first and the second Frenet-Serret curvatures is considered from a geometrical perspective. We demonstrate how reparametrization covariant dynamical variables and their projections onto the Frenet-Serret frame can be exploited to provide not only a significant simplification of but also novel insights into the canonical analysis. The constraint algebra and the Hamiltonian equations of motion are written down and a geometrical interpretation is provided for the canonical variables.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Fy, 31.15.Pf, 11.10.Ef

1. Introduction

Geometrical models that describe a relativistic particle may be constructed using the geometrical scalars associated with the embedding of the particle worldline in spacetime as building blocks for the action. The simplest model of this kind is the massive free particle, described by an action which is proportional to the proper time along the worldline. In fact, in the absence of external fields, this is also the only reparametrization invariant action one can write down solely in terms of the velocity. The next simplest model involves the curvature of the worldline, and is acceleration dependent.

The study of such models was initially motivated by the suggestion by Polyakov [1] (and independently by Kleinert [2]), of modifying the Nambu-Goto action for a relativistic string model of QCD, by adding a term quadratic in the mean extrinsic curvature of the worldsheet which is scale invariant. Pisarski took the natural first step to try to understand its scale invariant point-like analogue [3]. Since then, the exploration of these geometrical particle models has taken on a life of its own, with a case by case analysis of the classical dynamics as well as peculiarities related to their quantization pioneered by Plyushchay [4, 5, 6, 7, 8] (see also [9, 10, 11, 12]). In other fields, like in 2 + 1-dimensional gauge field theories, systems of relativistic particles involving curvature of the worldline as well as its torsion, have been considered as models for a massive relativistic anyon [13].

We consider the Hamiltonian formulation for geometrical models, describing a relativistic point-like object moving in Minkowski spacetime. With respect to previous approaches, however, we focus on the common features of models described by an

action that depends in an arbitrary way on the first and second Frenet-Serret [F-S] curvatures of the worldline. We are more interested in the general structure of the Hamiltonian formulation of reparametrization invariant higher-order constrained systems than in the specific details of any particular model with an eye towards possible extensions to strings and branes. We adopt a geometrical language for the worldline. We use a set of reparametrization covariant variables for the configuration space. This step alone produces unexpected simplifications in the canonical analysis, compared to the obvious choice of the embedding functions and their derivatives with respect to an arbitrary parameter along the worldline as configuration variables. The natural adapted frame to the particle worldline for higher derivative theories is the F-S frame. By a judicious use of the F-S equations in the intermediate steps of the canonical analysis, we are able to treat in full generality models that depend on the first or on the second F-S curvature. We abstain from the practice which is standard of introducing additional constraints and auxiliary variables in order to cast the model in terms of velocities alone. Such a strategy, in our view, although useful in special cases, only serves to obscure the elegant canonical structure possessed by these models.

This paper provides a companion to [14] where the corresponding Lagrangian analysis is undertaken.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we briefly recall some basic facts about the geometry of a timelike curve in Minkowski spacetime [14], and we collect some useful formulae, to be used later in the Hamiltonian analysis. In Sect. 3, we describe the Hamiltonian formulation for higher-derivative theories, using reparametrization covariant variables, and how it is to be adapted when constraints arise, as in our case, corresponding to reparametrization invariance.

In Sect. 4, we consider a geometrical model for a relativistic particle that depends at most on second derivatives of the embedding functions. The most general Lagrangian which satisfies the requirements of reparametrization invariance and invariance under rotations of the normals to the worldline is an arbitrary function of the first F-S curvature. We derive the form of the momenta in the extended phase space appropriate for a higher-derivative theory, and provide their geometrical interpretation in terms of the F-S basis. In particular we find that, up to a model dependent proportionality factor, the velocity is conjugate to the first F-S normal to the worldline. As expected from reparametrization invariance, there is one primary constraint and its conservation in time implies that the canonical Hamiltonian vanishes. We compare the Hamilton equations with the corresponding Lagrangian equations of motion. For illustration purposes, we consider a representative example: a model quadratic in the geodesic curvature.

In Sect. 5, we extend our considerations to models that depend arbitrarily on the second F-S curvature of the worldline. We again obtain explicit expressions for the momenta. We uncover a surprising analogy between this class of models and the ones considered in Sect. 4. Here the momenta conjugate to the acceleration is proportional to the second F-S normal. There are two primary constraints. Since only first class primary constraints correspond to symmetries of the action, one of the two has to be second class, as we verify explicitly. The complete constraint algebra as well as the Hamiltonian equations of motion are written down.

We conclude with a few general remarks in Sect. 6.

2. Worldline geometry

We begin by recalling some basic facts about the geometry of a timelike worldline in Minkowski spacetime, in order to establish our notation. We also collect some useful expressions that will be used below, in the Hamiltonian analysis.

We consider a relativistic particle with worldline described by the embedding functions $x^\mu = X^\mu(\xi)$, where x^μ are local coordinates for the background Minkowski spacetime $(\mu, \nu, \dots = 0, 1, \dots, N)$, and ξ is an arbitrary parameter.

The vector tangent to the worldline is $\dot{X}^\mu = dX^\mu/d\xi$, and the one-dimensional metric along the worldline is $\gamma = \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu} = \dot{X} \cdot \dot{X}$, where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric with only one minus sign. We assume that the worldline is timelike, $\dot{X}^2 < 0$. The proper time along the worldline is given infinitesimally by $d\tau = \sqrt{-\gamma} d\xi$. We use a prime to denote differentiation by proper time. Taking advantage of the fact that the intrinsic geometry of a curve is trivial in the parametrization by τ , we have $\gamma = X' \cdot X' = -1$. The affine connection along the curve is $\Gamma = \gamma^{-1} \dot{X} \cdot \ddot{X}$. We use it to define the covariant derivative under reparametrizations $\nabla = (d/d\xi) - \Gamma$. Note that $\nabla X = \dot{X}$ and that $\dot{X} \cdot \nabla^2 X = 0$. Γ vanishes in a parametrization by proper time.

The extrinsic curvature along the i -th normal is defined by $K^i = -n^i \cdot \ddot{X} = -n^i \cdot \nabla^2 X$, where the i -th normal to the worldline is defined implicitly by $n^i \cdot \dot{X} = 0$, and we choose to normalize them $n^i \cdot n^j = \delta^{ij}$ ($i, j \dots = 1, 2, \dots, N$). K^i is the point-like analogue of the extrinsic curvature for higher dimensional objects. The point-like analogue of the mean extrinsic curvature is

$$k^i = \gamma^{-1} K^i = (-\gamma)^{-1} n^i \cdot \nabla^2 X. \quad (1)$$

This quantity transforms as a scalar under worldline reparametrizations, whereas K^i itself does not. The geodesic curvature is given by the magnitude of k^i ,

$$k = \sqrt{k^i k_i}. \quad (2)$$

In addition the extrinsic geometry of the worldline is described by a connection associated with the freedom to rotate the normal vectors, $\omega^{ij} = \dot{n}^i \cdot n^j$. We use it to define a derivative also covariant under rotations, $\tilde{\nabla} = (d/d\xi) - \Gamma - \omega$.

An alternative description of the worldline geometry is given in terms of the orthonormal F-S basis $\{X', \eta_i\}$. The F-S equations for a curve in $(N+1)$ -dimensional Minkowski spacetime are [14, 15]

$$\begin{aligned} X'' &= \kappa_1 \eta_1, \\ \eta_1' &= \kappa_1 X' - \kappa_2 \eta_2, \\ \eta_2' &= \kappa_2 \eta_1 - \kappa_3 \eta_3, \\ \dots &\dots \\ \eta_{N-1}' &= \kappa_{N-1} \eta_{N-2} - \kappa_N \eta_N, \\ \eta_N' &= \kappa_N \eta_{N-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where κ_i denotes the i -th Frenet-Serret curvature. κ_1 is the geodesic curvature, k . In an ambient spacetime of dimension $N+1$ there are at most N F-S curvatures. Implicit in the F-S construction is that the embedding functions are $N+1$ times differentiable, and that the κ_i may vanish only at isolated points [15]. Indeed, if κ_i vanishes so do all the higher ones and the worldline lies in a $i+1$ -dimensional subspace. An important result of the geometry of curves is that the curvatures determine the embedding functions by quadratures, up to rigid Poincaré motions [15]. Therefore the curvatures can be used as a natural set of variables in the description of the worldline.

The relationship between these two descriptions of the worldline geometry is spelled out *e.g.* in Ref. [14]. We recall here only some specific expressions that will be needed in this paper. In terms of (derivatives of) k^i , the second F-S curvature can be written as

$$\kappa_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \sqrt{\tilde{\nabla} \hat{k}^i \tilde{\nabla} \hat{k}_i}, \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{k}^i = k^i/k$. The relation between the first two F-S normals and k^i and its derivatives is given by

$$\eta_1 = \hat{k}^i n_i = \frac{1}{(-\gamma)\kappa_1} \nabla^2 X, \quad (5)$$

$$\eta_2 = -\frac{1}{\kappa_2 \sqrt{-\gamma}} \left(\tilde{\nabla} \hat{k}^i \right) n_i. \quad (6)$$

Note that the orthogonality $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2 = 0$ follows from the unit vector fact $\hat{k}_i \tilde{\nabla} \hat{k}^i = 0$.

For the purpose of performing a canonical analysis, we need to express both κ_1 and κ_2 directly in terms of derivatives of the embedding functions. We have

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{1}{(-\gamma)} \sqrt{(n^i \cdot \nabla^2 X) (n_i \cdot \nabla^2 X)} = \frac{1}{(-\gamma)} \sqrt{\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X}, \quad (7)$$

where to obtain the second equality we have used the completeness relation $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \gamma^{-1} \dot{X}^\mu \dot{X}^\nu + n^{\mu i} n^\nu_{i}$, and the orthogonality $\dot{X} \cdot \nabla^2 X = 0$. For κ_2 , note that

$$\tilde{\nabla} \hat{k}^i = (-\gamma)^{-1} [(\tilde{\nabla} n^i) \cdot \nabla^2 X + n^i \cdot \nabla^3 X] = (-\gamma)^{-1} n^i \cdot \nabla^3 X. \quad (8)$$

The first term in the first equality vanishes since $\tilde{\nabla} n^i = k^i \dot{X}$ and $\dot{X} \cdot \nabla^2 X = 0$. For the derivative of the unit vector $\hat{k}^i$ which appears both in κ_2 and η_2 , this implies

$$(\tilde{\nabla} \hat{k}^i) n_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X}} \left[\nabla^3 X - \frac{(\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^3 X)}{(\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X)} \nabla^2 X - \frac{(\dot{X} \cdot \nabla^3 X)}{(\dot{X} \cdot \dot{X})} \dot{X} \right]. \quad (9)$$

Note that we have the expected orthogonality conditions $\tilde{\nabla} \hat{k}^i n_i \cdot \dot{X} = 0$, $\tilde{\nabla} \hat{k}^i n_i \cdot \nabla^2 X = 0$. Using now Eqs. (4), (9), we obtain for κ_2 the expression,

$$\kappa_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma} \sqrt{\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X}} \left[(\nabla^3 X \cdot \nabla^3 X) - \frac{(\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^3 X)^2}{(\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X)} - \frac{(\dot{X} \cdot \nabla^3 X)^2}{(\dot{X} \cdot \dot{X})} \right]^{1/2}. \quad (10)$$

3. Hamiltonian formulation

We consider a relativistic particle whose dynamics is described by a local action invariant under Poincaré transformations, under worldline reparameterizations, and when the co-dimension of the worldline is greater than one, under rotations of the normals. We specialize our considerations to geometric models which depend at most on three derivatives of the embedding functions X . Under these assumptions the most general action is of the form

$$S = \int d\xi \mathcal{L} = \int d\xi \sqrt{-\gamma} L(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_1'), \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lagrangian density, and L the Lagrangian function. We will neglect the possibility of a dependance on the derivative of κ_1 and consider $L = L(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$.

We are considering a higher-derivative model, with $L = L(\dot{X}, \ddot{X}, \ddot{\ddot{X}})$. Note that, in the absence of external fields, a dependance on the embedding functions X would break

Poincaré invariance. Rather than the obvious choice of $(\dot{X}, \ddot{X}, \dddot{X})$ as configuration variables, it turns out to be extremely convenient to use the combinations covariant under reparametrizations given by $(\dot{X}, \nabla^2 X, \nabla^3 X)$. Therefore we consider as phase space for these models the conjugate pairs $\{p, \dot{X} ; P, \ddot{X} ; \Pi, \nabla^2 X\}$. The momenta conjugated to $\{\nabla^2 X, \dot{X}, X\}$ are, respectively,

$$\Pi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla^3 X}, \quad (12)$$

$$P = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla^2 X} - \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla^3 X} \right), \quad (13)$$

$$p = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{X}} - \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla^2 X} \right) + \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla^3 X} \right). \quad (14)$$

The reason for adopting reparametrization covariant variables should be clear at this stage already. Under a reparametrization, $\xi \rightarrow f(\xi)$, the various momenta transform as scalar densities of different weight. The only true scalar under reparametrizations is p . If one uses $(\dot{X}, \ddot{X}, \dddot{X})$ as configurations variables, the intermediate steps of the calculations get cluttered by various non-covariant terms, which disappear in the final results anyway.

One might be tempted to go further and employ derivatives of X with respect to proper time as the configuration variables. However due care must then be taken with the fact that the boundary values develop a non-local dependence on the velocity [16]. The high cost of this tradeoff nullifies the apparent advantage.

For two arbitrary phase space functions the Poisson bracket is given by

$$\{f, g\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \Pi} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nabla^2 X} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial P} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \dot{X}} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial X} - (f \leftrightarrow g). \quad (15)$$

The canonical Hamiltonian density is

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \Pi \cdot \nabla^3 X + P \cdot \nabla^2 X + p \cdot \dot{X} - \mathcal{L}. \quad (16)$$

The invariance of the action under reparametrizations implies the existence of constraints. According to the standard Dirac-Bergmann theory, we will have M primary constraints, $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ ($\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, M$). Their conservations in time may produce secondary constraints, whose conservation in time may give tertiary constraints, until the process stops and no new constraints are generated. The constraints are called first class if they are in involution. What is important for higher-derivative constrained systems is the number of primary constraints that remain first class at the end of the Dirac-Bergmann algorithm. These are the generators of the transformations that leave the action invariant. In our case, we expect only one invariance, reparametrization invariance.

Time evolution is generated by the extended Hamiltonian density given by adding to the canonical Hamiltonian the primary constraints $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$,

$$\mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{H}_c + \lambda^\alpha \mathcal{C}_\alpha. \quad (17)$$

4. First curvature

We restrict now our attention to models that depend only on the first curvature $L = L(\kappa_1)$. Our first task is to evaluate the momenta. Using Eq. (7), we have

$$\frac{\partial \kappa_1}{\partial \nabla^2 X} = \frac{1}{\kappa_1(-\gamma)^2} \nabla^2 X = \frac{1}{(-\gamma)} \hat{k}^i n_i = \frac{1}{(-\gamma)} \eta_1, \quad (18)$$

where we have exploited Eq. (5), so that the momenta P associated with the velocities, as given by specializing Eq. (13), using the Leibniz rule, are

$$P = \frac{L_1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \eta_1, \quad (19)$$

where $L_1 = dL/d\kappa_1$. P is always normal to the worldline, and in particular it is proportional to the first F-S normal direction. Only the proportionality coefficient is model dependent. This provides a simple geometrical interpretation for P . In this class of models, the first F-S normal is conjugate to the velocity $\dot{X}$.

For the momenta p conjugate to the embedding functions, first note that

$$\frac{\partial \kappa_1}{\partial \dot{X}} = \frac{2\kappa_1}{(-\gamma)} \dot{X} = \frac{2\kappa_1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} X', \quad (20)$$

so that, using the Leibniz rule and the expression

$$\frac{\partial \sqrt{-\gamma}}{\partial \dot{X}} = -\frac{\dot{X}}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} = -X'. \quad (21)$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{X}} = (2L_1 \kappa_1 - L) X', \quad (22)$$

In order to evaluate the derivative of P , we can use the second F-S equation in Eqs. (3) to get

$$\nabla P = \sqrt{-\gamma} P' = (L_1 \eta_1)' = (L_1)' \eta_1 + L_1 \kappa_1 X' - L_1 \kappa_2 \eta_2. \quad (23)$$

Inserting these expressions into Eq. (14) as specialized to the present case, the momentum conjugate to X^μ takes the form

$$p = (L_1 \kappa_1 - L) X' - (L_1)' \eta_1 + L_1 \kappa_2 \eta_2. \quad (24)$$

This expression coincides with the conserved linear momentum associated with the Poincaré translational invariance of the action and obtained directly using the Noether theorem (see *e.g.* [14]). If the Lagrangian depends on κ_1 , there will be non-trivial normal component along the first two F-S normal directions; the momentum possesses a non-vanishing spacelike component orthogonal to the timelike particle trajectory. This is a manifestation of a generic feature of higher-derivative theories. In general, there will be also the possibility of tachyonic energy flow, since, in general, $M^2 = -p^2$ may take values of arbitrary sign, even if in the particle rest frame, $p \cdot X' = -E$ may yield a positive energy E .

Note that in the special case linear in the curvature, $L = \beta \kappa_1$, the tangential component vanishes. This is a consequence of the scale invariance of this particular model. Since this degenerate case has been studied extensively in the literature (see *e.g.* [4, 5, 13, 18, 19]), henceforth we will restrict our attention to the generic case.

According to the Dirac-Bergmann theory of higher-derivative theories we immediately identify the primary constraint, which involves the highest momenta P ,

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = P \cdot \dot{X} = 0. \quad (25)$$

There are no other primary constraints, as one can verify by computing the Hessian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla^2 X^\mu \partial \nabla^2 X^\nu} \\ &= \frac{1}{(-\gamma)^{3/2} \kappa_1} [(\kappa_1 L_{11} - L_1) \eta_{\mu 1} \eta_{\nu 1} + L_1 (X_\mu' X_\nu' + \eta_{\mu\nu})], \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where $L_{11} = d^2 L / d\kappa_1^2$. The only null eigenvector is X' .

Moreover note that squaring Eq. (19), we have

$$(-\gamma) P^2 = L_1^2, \quad (27)$$

which allows us to cast κ_1 with respect to canonical variables in the scalar combination γP^2 . Therefore, using $P \cdot \nabla^2 X = \sqrt{-\gamma} L_1 \kappa_1$, the canonical Hamiltonian density can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}_c = p \cdot \dot{X} + \sqrt{-\gamma} V(\gamma P^2), \quad (28)$$

where the potential V is defined by

$$V(\gamma P^2) = L_1 \kappa_1 - L, \quad (29)$$

and it is understood to be an implicit function of the phase space variables $(\dot{X}, P)$, in the scalar combination γP^2 . The potential has the form of a Hamiltonian with respect to the “velocities” κ_1 , with momenta L_1 , and lagrangian L .

The Hamiltonian that generates the dynamics is given by adding the constraint (25) to the canonical hamiltonian density (28),

$$\mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{H}_c + \lambda_1 \mathcal{C}_1, \quad (30)$$

with λ_1 an arbitrary Lagrange multiplier. Conservation in time of the primary constraint, using the Poisson bracket (15) gives

$$\nabla \mathcal{C}_1 = \{\mathcal{H}_T, \mathcal{C}_1\} = -\mathcal{H}_c = 0. \quad (31)$$

Therefore we have that the vanishing of the Hamiltonian, as expected from reparametrization invariance, follows as a secondary constraint. There are no other constraints. The phase space has $4(N+1)$ degrees of freedom. There are two first class constraints, therefore the number of physical degrees of freedom is $(1/2)[4(N+1) - 2 \times 2] = 2N$.

We can gain insight into the structure of the Hamilton equations without needing to know the explicit form of the potential V . The first Hamilton equation is, as expected, an identity

$$\dot{X} = \{\mathcal{H}_T, X\} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_T}{\partial p} = \dot{X}. \quad (32)$$

The second Hamilton equation identifies both the Lagrange multiplier, λ_1 , and the higher momentum P . We have

$$\nabla^2 X = \{\mathcal{H}_T, \dot{X}\} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_T}{\partial P} = \lambda_1 \dot{X} - 2(-\gamma)^{3/2} V^* P, \quad (33)$$

where V^* denotes derivative of V with respect to its argument. Contraction of this expression with $\dot{X}$, and use of the constraint (25) gives $\lambda_1 = 0$. This is clearly a consequence of our choice for the configuration variables. Using $\lambda_1 = 0$, we have that P is proportional to $\nabla^2 X$, or η_1 , with Eq. (5). Contraction with the first F-S normal gives

$$\kappa_1 = -2\sqrt{-\gamma} V^* P \cdot \eta_1, \quad (34)$$

which determines P implicitly, so long as $V^* \neq 0$. Indeed, as follows from the definition of the potential, we know that

$$\kappa_1 = -2 V^* L_1, \quad (35)$$

and so Eq. (33) reproduces Eq. (19). Therefore, this Hamilton equation plays a role analogous to the first Hamilton equation in the usual case of a theory that depends at most on velocities, reproducing as it does the definition of the momenta, P .

The third Hamilton equation determines the form of the remaining momenta p . We have that

$$\nabla P = \{\mathcal{H}_T, P\} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_T}{\partial \dot{X}} = -p + (V + 2\gamma P^2 V^*) X'. \quad (36)$$

We need to compare Eq. (36) with Eq. (24). However, using Eq. (35) we have

$$V + 2\gamma P^2 V^* = 2L_1 \kappa_1 - L. \quad (37)$$

Thus, using this together with Eq. (23), we reproduce the defining relation (24) for p .

Finally, the equations of motion take the form

$$\nabla p = \{\mathcal{H}_T, p\} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_T}{\partial X} = 0. \quad (38)$$

In the absence of external fields, an explicit dependence of the Hamiltonian density on the embedding functions would break translation invariance.

We can also show that the following Bianchi identity holds,

$$\nabla p \cdot \dot{X} = 0. \quad (39)$$

This follows from reparametrization invariance. To see this in the Hamiltonian context, note that

$$\nabla \mathcal{H}_c = \nabla p \cdot \dot{X} + p \cdot \nabla^2 X - 2(-\gamma)^{3/2} V^* P \cdot \nabla P = \nabla p \cdot \dot{X} = 0, \quad (40)$$

where we have used Eqs. (33), (36). The non-trivial part of the equations of motion is given by the normal projection of Eq. (38),

$$\nabla p \cdot \eta_i = \sqrt{-\gamma} p' \cdot \eta_i = 0. \quad (41)$$

Indeed, as shown elsewhere [14], we have in general

$$p' = \mathcal{E}^i \eta_i, \quad (42)$$

where the right hand side represents the Euler-Lagrangian derivative for this class of models.

Let us consider a specific model. The simplest is a massive relativistic particle with a correction quadratic in the geodesic curvature,

$$L(\kappa_1) = -m + \frac{\alpha}{2} \kappa_1^2, \quad (43)$$

where α is a coupling constant with dimension of length, and m has dimension of inverse length. (For different, but equivalent, approaches to the Hamiltonian formulation of this particular model see Refs. [6, 12].) In the notation introduced in Eq. (29), we have that the potential is

$$V = m - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \gamma P^2. \quad (44)$$

It is therefore *linear* in the variable γP^2 . The equations of motion (41) take the form

$$p' \cdot \eta_1 = -\alpha \kappa_1'' + \frac{\alpha}{2} \kappa_1^3 + \alpha \kappa_1 \kappa_2^2 + m \kappa_1 = 0,$$

$$p' \cdot \eta_2 = 2\kappa_2 \kappa_1' + \kappa_1 \kappa_2' = 0,$$

$$p' \cdot \eta_3 = -\kappa_1 \kappa_2 \kappa_3 = 0,$$

and it is easy to check that these equations coincide with the Euler-Lagrange equations for this model (see *e.g.* [14]). We note that only three projections survive. The projection along η_3 implies the vanishing of κ_3 , the motion lives in a 2+1 dimensional space. Furthermore the second equation implies that κ_2 is a function of κ_1 . With this fact, it is then clear that the first equation is always integrable [14, 17].

5. Second curvature

We extend now our considerations to models that depend on the second curvature $L = L(\kappa_2)$. To evaluate the momenta we need to know how κ_2 depends on $(\nabla^3 X, \nabla^2 X, \dot{X})$. From Eq. (10), using Eq. (6), we obtain,

$$\frac{\partial \kappa_2}{\partial \nabla^3 X} = - \frac{1}{(-\gamma)^{3/2} \kappa_1} \eta_2, \quad (45)$$

$$\frac{\partial \kappa_2}{\partial \nabla^2 X} = \frac{1}{(-\gamma)} \left(\frac{\kappa_1'}{\kappa_1^2} \eta_2 - \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} \eta_1 \right), \quad (46)$$

$$\frac{\partial \kappa_2}{\partial \dot{X}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} (\kappa_1 \eta_2 + \kappa_2 X'). \quad (47)$$

It follows immediately that the highest momentum, as defined by Eq. (12), is

$$\Pi = - \frac{L_2}{\sqrt{\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X}} \eta_2, \quad (48)$$

where $L_2 = dL/d\kappa_2$. It is proportional to the second F-S normal, giving it a nice geometrical interpretation. Up to a model dependent proportionality factor the second F-S normal η_2 is conjugate to the acceleration $\nabla^2 X$. Notice the similarity in structure with the highest momenta in the first curvature models (19).

For the momentum conjugate to $\dot{X}$, as given by Eq. (13), using Eq. (46), first we have that

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla^2 X} = \frac{L_2}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \left(\frac{\kappa_1'}{\kappa_1^2} \eta_2 - \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} \eta_1 \right). \quad (49)$$

Moreover, exploiting the F-S equations (3), we have that

$$\nabla \Pi = \sqrt{-\gamma} \Pi' = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \left[\left(\frac{L_2}{\kappa_1} \right)' \eta_2 + \frac{L_2 \kappa_2}{\kappa_1} \eta_1 - \frac{L_2 \kappa_3}{\kappa_1} \eta_3 \right]. \quad (50)$$

Therefore we obtain, after some cancellations,

$$P = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}} \left(\frac{L_2'}{\kappa_1} \eta_2 - \frac{L_2 \kappa_3}{\kappa_1} \eta_3 \right). \quad (51)$$

The structure is completely different from what we found earlier for the first curvature models. In particular, note that there is no contribution along the first F-S normal, unless we enlarge our considerations to models of the form $L(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$. We will have more to say about this possibility below.

Let us turn now to the momentum conjugate to X , p . Using Eq. (47) we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{X}} = L_2 \kappa_1 \eta_2 + (L_2 \kappa_2 - L) X'. \quad (52)$$

Moreover, exploiting the F-S equations (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla P &= \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla^2 X} \right) - \nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla^3 X} \right) \\ &= \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} (L_2)' \eta_1 + \left[\left(\frac{L_2'}{\kappa_1} \right)' - \frac{L_2 \kappa_3^2}{\kappa_1} \right] \eta_2 - \left[\left(\frac{L_2 \kappa_3}{\kappa_1} \right)' + \frac{L_2' \kappa_3}{\kappa_1} \right] \eta_3 \\ &\quad + \frac{L_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4}{\kappa_1} \eta_4. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

so that inserting in Eq. (14), we find

$$p = (\kappa_2 L_2 - L) X' - \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} (L_2)' \eta_1 + \left[- \left(\frac{L_2'}{\kappa_1} \right)' + \frac{L_2}{\kappa_1} (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_3^2) \right] \eta_2 \\ + \left[\left(\frac{L_2 \kappa_3}{\kappa_1} \right)' + \frac{L_2' \kappa_3}{\kappa_1} \right] \eta_3 - \frac{L_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4}{\kappa_1} \eta_4. \quad (54)$$

This expression coincides with the one obtained with the conserved linear momentum obtained in [14], using Noether's theorem. We emphasize how much the intermediate calculations are simplified by the use of the F-S equations. Now there are non vanishing contributions along the first four F-S normals.

Using $\Pi \cdot \nabla^3 X = \sqrt{-\gamma} L_2 \kappa_2$, the canonical Hamiltonian takes the form

$$\mathcal{H}_c = P \cdot \nabla^2 X + p \cdot \dot{X} + \sqrt{-\gamma} V (\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X \Pi^2). \quad (55)$$

where we use the relation

$$\Pi^2 (\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X) = L_2^2, \quad (56)$$

to express κ_2 in terms of phase space variables, and the potential is given by

$$V = L_2 \kappa_2 - L. \quad (57)$$

Here we emphasize the similarity with the expression for the canonical density obtained in the first-curvature models, Eq. (28). Again, the case linear in κ_2 is degenerate, and we exclude it from consideration. We refer the reader interested in this special case to Ref. [19]. In the special case of a three-dimensional background, there is also the possibility of a dependance linear in the *signed* torsion. This special case is treated in Ref. [7, 11]

We recognize immediately the primary constraints

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \Pi \cdot \nabla^2 X = 0, \quad (58)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = \Pi \cdot \dot{X} = 0. \quad (59)$$

There are no other primary constraints, as one can see from the Hessian,

$$H_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{L}}{\partial \nabla^3 X^\mu \partial \nabla^3 X^\nu} \\ = \frac{1}{(-\gamma)^{5/2} \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2} [(\kappa_2 L_{22} - L_2) \eta_{\mu 2} \eta_{\nu 2} + L_2 (X_\mu' X_\nu' - \eta_{\mu 1} \eta_{\nu 1} + \eta_{\mu\nu})], \quad (60)$$

where $L_{22} = d^2 L / d\kappa_2^2$. The only null eigenvectors are X' and η_1 .

Note that the primary constraints are in involution,

$$\{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2\} = -\mathcal{C}_2. \quad (61)$$

As discussed at the end of Sect. 3, the number of primary constraints that remains first class after all the constraints have been generate corresponds to the number of invariances of the action. Since we have only reparametrization invariance we expect that one of these primary constraints will be second class. The constraint analysis below shows that it is $\mathcal{C}_2$.

The total Hamiltonian is simply obtained by adding the two primary constraints to the canonical Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_T = \mathcal{H}_c + \lambda_1 \mathcal{C}_1 + \lambda_2 \mathcal{C}_2. \quad (62)$$

For variety, let us consider the Hamilton equations first. We have the identities:

$$\nabla^2 X = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_T}{\partial P} = \nabla^2 X, \quad (63)$$

$$\dot{X} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_T}{\partial p} = \dot{X}. \quad (64)$$

The form of Π and of the Lagrange multipliers is determined by the following Hamilton equation

$$\nabla^3 X = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_T}{\partial \Pi} = 2\sqrt{-\gamma} V_* \nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X \Pi + \lambda_1 \nabla^2 X + \lambda_2 \dot{X}, \quad (65)$$

where V_* denotes the derivative of V with respect to its argument. Using the constraints, we obtain

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\nabla^3 X \cdot \nabla^2 X}{\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X} = \frac{\nabla \kappa_1}{\kappa_1}, \quad (66)$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{\nabla^3 X \cdot \dot{X}}{\dot{X} \cdot \dot{X}} = (-\gamma)^{-1} \nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X = (-\gamma) \kappa_1^2. \quad (67)$$

Both multipliers are completely determined. To obtain the form of Π , first note that using Eqs. (6), (8) and the form of the multipliers, we have that (65) implies that Π is proportional to η_2 . To obtain the proportionality factor, contraction of Eq. (65) with the second F-S normal gives

$$-\kappa_2 = 2V_* \sqrt{\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X} \Pi \cdot \eta_2, \quad (68)$$

which determines Π as long as $V_* \neq 0$. Indeed from the definition of the potential, we have

$$\kappa_2 = 2V_* L_2, \quad (69)$$

in parallel to expression (35) for first curvature models, so that Eq. (65) reproduces Eq. (48) for Π . The next Hamilton equation determines the form of P ,

$$\nabla \Pi = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_T}{\partial \nabla^2 X} = -P - 2\sqrt{-\gamma} V_* \Pi^2 \nabla^2 X - \lambda_1 \Pi. \quad (70)$$

To see that it reproduces Eq. (51) one needs to use the expressions (48) and (66) for Π and λ_1 , respectively.

The form of p as given by Eq. (54) is obtained from

$$\nabla P = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_T}{\partial \dot{X}} = -p + V X' - \lambda_2 \Pi, \quad (71)$$

as one can verify using Eq. (48) for Π , Eq. (53) for ∇P , Eq. (57) for V , and Eq. (67) for λ_2 .

Finally the equations of motion are given

$$\nabla p = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}_T}{\partial X} = 0. \quad (72)$$

As it was the case in first curvature models, we have the Bianchi identity $\nabla p \cdot \dot{X} = 0$, and the non-trivial part of the equations of motion is given by the normal projections $\nabla p \cdot \eta_i = 0$.

We examine now the conservation in time of the primary constraints. We have that

$$\nabla \mathcal{C}_1 = \{\mathcal{H}_T, \mathcal{C}_1\} = -P \cdot \nabla^2 X + \lambda_2 \mathcal{C}_2, \quad (73)$$

$$\nabla \mathcal{C}_2 = \{\mathcal{H}_T, \mathcal{C}_2\} = -P \cdot \dot{X} - \lambda_1 \mathcal{C}_2. \quad (74)$$

Therefore we pick up the obvious secondary constraints

$$\mathcal{C}_3 = P \cdot \nabla^2 X = 0, \quad (75)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_4 = P \cdot \dot{X} = 0. \quad (76)$$

These four constraints are in involution; in addition to the bracket (61), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_4\} &= \mathcal{C}_3, \\ \{\mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_1\} &= -\mathcal{C}_3, \\ \{\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3\} &= \mathcal{C}_4 - \mathcal{C}_1, \\ \{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_4\} &= 0, \\ \{\mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_2\} &= \mathcal{C}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

The algebra generated by these four constraints can be identified with $SO(3)$ times a line[‡]. This can be interpreted as a translation generated by the combination $J_0 = (1/2)(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{C}_4)$, and a rotation generated by $J_- = \mathcal{C}_2, J_+ = \mathcal{C}_3$ and $J_z = (1/2)(\mathcal{C}_1 - \mathcal{C}_4)$. We can see this by computing the change of the phase space variables $\{X, p; \dot{X}, P; \ddot{X}, \Pi\}$, caused by canonical transformations with generators $J_0, \dots, J_z$.

The conservation in time of the secondary constraint $\mathcal{C}_4$ implies the vanishing of the canonical Hamiltonian, as expected from reparametrization invariance, but now it is expressed as a sum of two constraints, i.e., the canonical hamiltonian is a sum of a secondary and a tertiary constraint. We have

$$\nabla \mathcal{C}_4 = -p \cdot \dot{X} - \sqrt{-\gamma} V + \mathcal{C}_3 - \lambda_2 \mathcal{C}_2, \quad (78)$$

which implies the tertiary constraint

$$\mathcal{C}_5 = p \cdot \dot{X} + \sqrt{-\gamma} V = 0, \quad (79)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{H}_c = \mathcal{C}_3 + \mathcal{C}_5. \quad (80)$$

Recall that for the first curvature models the vanishing of the canonical Hamiltonian also followed from the same constraint, $P \cdot \dot{X} = 0$.

A further tertiary constraint arises from the conservation in time of $\mathcal{C}_3$,

$$\nabla \mathcal{C}_3 = \{H_T, \mathcal{C}_3\} \approx \{\mathcal{C}_5, \mathcal{C}_3\} = -p \cdot \nabla^2 X + 2\sqrt{-\gamma} V_* \nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X \Pi \cdot P, \quad (81)$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{C}_6 = p \cdot \nabla^2 X - 2\sqrt{-\gamma} V_* \nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X \Pi \cdot P = 0. \quad (82)$$

The constraint algebra is readily computed. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_5\} &= 0, \\ \{\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_5\} &= 0, \\ \{\mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_5\} &= \mathcal{C}_6, \\ \{\mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_5\} &= \mathcal{C}_5, \\ \{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_6\} &= \mathcal{C}_6, \\ \{\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_6\} &\approx \sqrt{-\gamma} (2 V_* \nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X \Pi^2 - V), \\ \{\mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_6\} &= 2\sqrt{-\gamma} \nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X [V_* P^2 + 2V_{**} \nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X (\Pi \cdot P)^2], \\ \{\mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_6\} &= 0, \\ \{\mathcal{C}_5, \mathcal{C}_6\} &= 4\sqrt{-\gamma} V_* \nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X \Pi \cdot p, \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

[‡] We thank to a referee for pointing this fact to us.

where V_{**} denotes the second derivative of V with respect to its argument. We emphasise that, to our knowledge, this is the first time that this algebra has been written down. The phase space has $6(N+1)$ degrees of freedom, there are 2 first class constraints, $\mathcal{C}_1$ and $\mathcal{C}_4$, and 4 second class constraints. Therefore the number of physical degrees of freedom is $(1/2)[6(N+1) - 2 \times 2 - 4] = 3N - 1$.

Requiring stationarity of $\mathcal{C}_5$ we obtain nothing new. As advertised earlier, the primary constraint $\mathcal{C}_2$ becomes second class. Therefore, the conservation in time of $\mathcal{C}_6$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \mathcal{C}_6 &= \{\mathcal{H}_c, \mathcal{C}_6\} \approx \{\mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_6\} + \{\mathcal{C}_5, \mathcal{C}_6\} + \lambda_2 \{\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_6\} \\ &\approx 2\sqrt{-\gamma} (\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X) [2V_{**} \nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X (\Pi \cdot P)^2 + V_* P^2 \\ &\quad + 2V_* \Pi \cdot p] + \sqrt{-\gamma} \lambda_2 [2V_* \nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X \Pi^2 - V] \approx 0. \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

When the equations of motion are satisfied, the value of the Lagrange multiplier λ_2 coincides with the value obtained in Eq. (67).

Let us specialize our considerations to the model quadratic in κ_2 ,

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_2^2. \quad (85)$$

The canonical Hamiltonian density takes then the form

$$\mathcal{H}_c = P \cdot \nabla^2 X + p \cdot \dot{X} + \frac{\sqrt{-\gamma}}{2} (\nabla^2 X \cdot \nabla^2 X) \Pi^2. \quad (86)$$

Note that there are some simplifications in the brackets, since V_{**} vanishes. Despite this, the system becomes second-class constrained.

The equations of motion for this model are

$$\begin{aligned} p' \cdot \eta_1 &= 2\kappa_2 \left(\frac{\kappa_2'}{\kappa_1} \right)' + \frac{\kappa_2'}{\kappa_1} \kappa_2' - \frac{\kappa_2^2}{\kappa_1} \left(\frac{3}{2} \kappa_1^2 + \kappa_3^2 \right) = 0, \\ p' \cdot \eta_2 &= \left(\frac{\kappa_2'}{\kappa_1} \right)'' - \left(\frac{\kappa_2'}{\kappa_1} \right) (\kappa_2^2 + \kappa_3^2) - (\kappa_2 \kappa_1)' - 2 \left(\frac{\kappa_2 \kappa_3^2}{\kappa_1} \right)' \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\kappa_2 \kappa_3}{\kappa_1} \right) \kappa_3' = 0, \\ p' \cdot \eta_3 &= -3\kappa_2'' \frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_1} - 4\kappa_2' \left(\frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_1} \right)' + \kappa_2' \frac{\kappa_3'}{\kappa_1} - \kappa_2 \left(\frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_1} \right)'' \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa_2 \kappa_3}{\kappa_1} (\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_3^2 + \kappa_4^2) = 0, \\ p' \cdot \eta_4 &= 3 \frac{\kappa_2'}{\kappa_1} \kappa_3 \kappa_4 + 2\kappa_2 \kappa_4 \left(\frac{\kappa_3}{\kappa_1} \right)' + \frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} \kappa_3 \kappa_4' = 0, \\ p' \cdot \eta_5 &= - \frac{\kappa_2 \kappa_3 \kappa_4 \kappa_5}{\kappa_1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

It is again easy to check that these equations coincide with the Euler-Lagrange equations for this model [14]. We note that this time five projections survive. The projection along η_5 implies the vanishing of κ_5 , so that the motion lives in a $4+1$ dimensional space. In a $2+1$ dimensional space, with $\kappa_3 = 0 = \kappa_4$, it has been shown in [14] that this system of equations is integrable.

In this section, we have considered models that depend only on κ_2 . However, it is easy to see that our considerations can be extended to the more general possibility $L = L(\kappa_1, \kappa_2)$. The primary constraints remain unchanged, but the canonical Hamiltonian and the secondary constraints will include extra terms that can be derived using the results of Sect. 4. The general structure is the same.

6. Conclusions

We have considered a geometrical formulation of the Hamiltonian analysis for higher-order relativistic particles. By using gauge covariant variables and the F-S basis we have been able to provide a complete treatment of geometrical models that depend on the first two F-S curvatures.

Our considerations can be extended to geometrical models that depend on any F-S curvature, κ_n . We expect that the top momentum conjugated to $\nabla^n X$ will be of the form

$$P_n = \pm \frac{L_n}{\sqrt{\pm \nabla^n X \cdot \nabla^n X}} \eta_n. \quad (88)$$

There will be n primary constraints, of which $n - 1$ will be second class, with the remaining first class one corresponding to reparametrization invariance.

The extension to a curved background, although problematic in the degenerate case linear in the curvature [20], is not in the generic case. The momenta will change appropriately, picking up a term that depends on the background Christoffel symbol.

Finally, the analysis presented in this paper should be of help in establishing a geometrical approach to the Hamiltonian formulation of higher order extended objects. Work along these lines is in progress.

Acknowledgments

R.C. and E.R. received financial support from CONACyT project 32187-E. J.G. received financial support from CONACyT project 32307-E as well as DGAPA project IN119799. E.R. would like to thank Professor A. P. Balachandran of the Department of Physics of Syracuse University for hospitality and acknowledges support from a CONACyT post-doctoral fellowship.

References

- [1] Polyakov A M 1986 *Nucl. Phys. B* **268** 406
- [2] Kleinert H 1986 *Phys. Lett. B* **174** 335
- [3] Pisarski R D 1986 *Phys. Rev. D* **34** 670
- [4] Plyushchay M S 1988 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **3** 1299
- [5] Plyushchay M S 1989 *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **4** 3851
- [6] Plyushchay M S 1990 *Phys. Lett. B* **235** 47
- [7] Plyushchay M S 1991 *Nucl. Phys. B* **362** 54
- [8] Plyushchay M S 1991 *Phys. Lett. B* **253** 50
- [9] Nesterenko V V 1989 *J. Phys. A* **22** 1673
- [10] Nesterenko V V 1991 *J. Math. Phys.* **32** 3315
- [11] Nesterenko V V 1994 *Phys. Lett. B* **327** 50
- [12] Nersessian A 2001 *Theor. Math. Phys.* **126** 147
Preprint hep-th/9805009
Preprint hep-th/9904192
- [13] Kuznetsov Y A and Plyushchay M S 1993 *Nucl. Phys. B* **389** 181
- [14] Arreaga G, Capovilla R and Guven J to appear in *Class. Quant. Grav.* Preprint hep-th/0105040
- [15] Spivak M 1979 *A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry, vol. Four, Second edition* (Houston: Publish or Perish Inc.)
- [16] Guven J 1992 *Phys. Rev. D* **44** 3360
- [17] Nesterenko V V, Feoli A and Scarpetta G 1995 *J. Math. Phys.* **36** 5552
- [18] Ramos E and Roca J 1995 *Nucl. Phys. B* **436** 529
- [19] Ramos E and Roca J 1995 *Nucl. Phys. B* **452** 705
- [20] Zoller D 1990 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** 2236